

January 23, 2017

Economy Sound as Trump Era Begins

Donald Trump was elected on a mix of discontent and hope. The discontent was the result of an economy that never quite advanced to the stage of robust expansion, and a workforce seemingly left behind in the global economy. The hope was based on easily understood solutions proposed for vexing problems such as overseas job losses and rotting infrastructure. This week the President begins the task of turning promises into reality.

As the new president takes office, Americans will be willing to grant him a honeymoon period to enact his promised transformative legislation. The honeymoon will be short if the President prioritizes traditional Republican issues, such as tax policy favoring the wealthy, over the issue of good jobs that won him votes in traditional Democratic Party strongholds.

ECONOMY: REVENUES KEY TO AVOIDING SPIRALING DEFICITS

The solid economy should put wind in the sails of the new administration. But the aggressive program promised by the new president risks undoing the painstaking work on reducing deficits over the past few years.

Donald Trump's policy prescription for boosting GDP growth includes a double dose of fiscal stimulus: tax cuts and government funded infrastructure spending. Even within his own party, critics worry strong fiscal stimulus without accompanying government spending cuts risks blowing up the federal deficit.

The budget deficit, while still a problem, has been reduced during the second Obama administration, both on an absolute basis and as a percentage of GDP. For the recently

concluded October 2016 government fiscal year, the federal budget deficit was \$587 billion and represented 3.2% of GDP.

Consider that in 2009, the first full year of the great recession, the deficit was \$1.41 trillion and represented 9.8% of GDP. The deficit blew up after 2008 as the government swung to emergency fiscal stimulus in the form of Trouble Asset Relief Program (TARP) and other bailout programs; first-time homebuyers credit and HARP (home affordable refinance program); and smaller programs such as Cash for Clunkers.

The summer 2011 showdown between Democrats and Republicans came within a whisker of ending the bull market, but out of that clash came the imperfect solution of sequestration. In subsequent years, the deficit was whittled down as a result of three inputs. (1) Sequestration-mandated cuts reduced government outlays, particularly in defense. (2) Tax receipts were higher as a result of Obama's rescinding of the Bush-era tax cuts. (3) Economic recovery.

The contribution from restoration of rescinded tax cuts is relatively minor. Much more important is that economic recovery has refilled the government's tax coffers. Federal-only income tax revenue fell to \$2.1 trillion in fiscal 2009, from \$2.52 trillion in fiscal 2008. For fiscal 2016, federal-only tax revenue was \$3.28 trillion. Assuming no change in tax policy, federal tax revenue will be about \$3.7 trillion for fiscal 2017, within a total tax bill (including state and local) of \$7.0 trillion.

The new administration has promised both major changes in tax policy as well as a revenue-neutral approach.

(continued on next page)

ECONOMIC & MARKET COMMENTARY (CONT.)

Achieving revenue neutrality amid major policy changes assumes the elimination of loopholes, inefficiencies, and other dodges, as well as rising revenue from expanded economic activity. As we noted above, the administration begins with economic wind in its sails thanks to the solid economic activity now underway.

ECONOMY: SOLID IF NOT SURGING

Early in the year, U.S. purchasing managers expressed their optimism in what the new administration could bring. The Markit manufacturing PMI index rose to 54.3 in December from 54.1 in November and 51.2 a year earlier. The services PMI index, representing a much larger slice of the economy, exceeded expectations with a 53.9 reading; and the composite (manufacturing & services) index was 54.1, up from 53.7 in November.

Nonfarm payrolls growth appear to have slowed, finally, with the major measure unemployment now in the 4.6%-4.7% range every month. A reading of this level is consistent with “full employment,” and it is finally having the effect of pushing up wages. Within the household survey issued as part of the December nonfarm payrolls report, wages were reported to have risen at a 2.9% annual rate – certainly well above the Fed’s 2.0% inflation target. Core producer prices are also percolating at a rate much higher than the Fed’s target.

Retail sales exploded upward by 0.6% in December, though the number was likely driven by year-end auto sales. Sales at auto dealers were up 2.4% month-over-month in December, likely fueled by year-end incentives and rebates.

Rising gasoline prices pushed up receipts at service stations by 2.0%.

Core retail sales, which backs out autos, gasoline, and building materials, was up a more modest 0.2%. Core retail sales are used in the calculation of GDP. According to Bloomberg, economists expect 4Q16 GDP to increase by 2.3%. That number, which captures the final quarter of the Obama presidency, was revised up slightly from 2.2%.

But economists recently offered a GDP outlook for 2017 that substantially lags the growth promised by the new president. According to the Bloomberg survey, GDP is forecast to grow 2.1% in 1Q17; 2.3% in 2Q17; and 2.3% for all of 2017.

CONCLUSION

That level of growth will not compensate for a gutting of tax revenues coupled with aggressive federal outlays to restore infrastructure. Risks of reflation in the budget deficit have increased. And the economic recovery is mature. Any turn in the cycle toward negative GDP growth would only exacerbate a shortfall in government revenue.

However, the pace of government is ponderous. Any final decisions on government spending and tax policy will be many months if not years in the making, as policies wend their way through committees and sub-committees. While the new president’s Twitter habits reveal him as impulsive, his administration may be more measured, reined in by the traditions of democratic government.

Jim Kelleher,
Director of Research

Argus Research Co. (ARC) is an independent investment research provider whose parent company, Argus Investors' Counsel, Inc. (AIC), is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. Argus Investors' Counsel is a subsidiary of The Argus Research Group, Inc. Neither The Argus Research Group nor any affiliate is a member of the FINRA or the SIPC. Argus Research is not a registered broker dealer and does not have investment banking operations. The Argus trademark, service mark and logo are the intellectual property of The Argus Research Group, Inc. The information contained in this research report is produced and copyrighted by Argus Research Co., and any unauthorized use, duplication, redistribution or disclosure is prohibited by law and can result in prosecution. The content of this report may be derived from Argus research reports, notes, or analyses. The opinions and information contained herein have been obtained or derived from sources believed to be reliable, but Argus makes no representation as to their timeliness, accuracy or completeness or for their fitness for any particular purpose. This report is not an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy any security. The information and material presented in this report are for general information only and do not specifically address individual investment objectives, financial situations or the particular needs of any specific person who may receive this report. Investing in any security or investment strategies discussed may not be suitable for you and it is recommended that you consult an independent investment advisor. Nothing in this report constitutes individual investment, legal or tax advice. Argus may issue or may have issued other reports that are inconsistent with or may reach different conclusions than those represented in this report, and all opinions are reflective of judgments made on the original date of publication. Argus is under no obligation to ensure that other reports are brought to the attention of any recipient of this report. Argus shall accept no liability for any loss arising from the use of this report, nor shall Argus treat all recipients of this report as customers simply by virtue of their receipt of this material. Investments involve risk and an investor may incur either profits or losses. Past performance should not be taken as an indication or guarantee of future performance. Argus has provided independent research since 1934. Argus officers, employees, agents and/or affiliates may have positions in stocks discussed in this report. No Argus officers, employees, agents and/or affiliates may serve as officers or directors of covered companies, or may own more than one percent of a covered company's stock. Argus Investors' Counsel (AIC), a portfolio management business based in Stamford, Connecticut, is a customer of Argus Research Co. (ARC), based in New York. Argus Investors' Counsel pays Argus Research Co. for research used in the management of the AIC core equity strategy and model portfolio and UIT products, and has the same access to Argus Research Co. reports as other customers. However, clients and prospective clients should note that Argus Investors' Counsel and Argus Research Co., as units of The Argus Research Group, have certain employees in common, including those with both research and portfolio management responsibilities, and that Argus Research Co. employees participate in the management and marketing of the AIC core equity strategy and UIT and model portfolio products.

